

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/629,930	LEFEBVRE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Phu K. Nguyen	2628	

**All Participants:**

(1) Phu K. Nguyen.

(2) David Rodack.

**Date of Interview:** 10 September 2007

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*12*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner requests Applicant's permission to correct an apparent typo error in claim 12 (line 1, replace "10" by - 11 -).*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**PHU K. NGUYEN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 2300**

*Phu K. Nguyen*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)